arXiv:1403.6939v1 [astro-ph.SR] 27 Mar 2014

X-ray Detection of the Cluster Containing the Cepheid S Mus

Nancy Remage Evans[1], Ignazio Pillitteri[1,2], Scott Wolk[1], Edward Guinan[3], Scott Engle[3], Howard E. Bond,[4] Gail H. Schaefer,[5] Margarita Karovska,[1] Joseph DePasquale,[1] and Evan Tingle[1]

ABSTRACT

The galactic Cepheid S Muscae has recently been added to the important list of Cepheids linked to open clusters, in this case the sparse young cluster ASCC 69. Low-mass members of a young cluster are expected to have rapid rotation and X-ray activity, making X-ray emission an excellent way to discriminate them from old field stars. We have made an XMM-Newton observation centered on S Mus and identified (Table 1) a population of X-ray sources whose near-IR 2MASS counterparts lie at locations in the J, (J-K) color-magnitude diagram consistent with cluster membership at the distance of S Mus. Their median energy and X-ray luminosity are consistent with young cluster members as distinct from field stars. These strengthen the association of S Mus with the young cluster, making it a potential Leavitt Law (Period-Luminosity relation) calibrator.

Subject headings: stars: variables: Cepheids; stars: low-mass; X-rays: stars; open clusters and associations: individual (ASCC 69)

1. Introduction

Galactic open clusters are an important means of calibrating the absolute magnitude of Cepheids (An, Terndrup, and Pinsonneault 2007; Feast and Walker 1987; Turner and Burke 2002). Recently Anderson, Eyer, Mowlavi (2013, hereafter AEM) made an all-sky survey of possible linkages between Cepheids and parent clusters based on position, velocity, distance, abundance and age. They found a highly probable connection between the Cepheid S Mus and the sparse cluster ASCC 69 = [KPR2005] 69 (Kharchenko, et al. 2005)

The decrease in X-ray activity in low mass stars as they age and spin down is well known (Pallavicini et al., 1981). This means that X-ray activity provides an excellent discriminant between young stars and the old field population. Physical companions of Cepheids must be young,

[1]Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, MS 4, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138; nevans@cfa.harvard.edu

[2]INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, 90134 Palermo, Italy

[3]Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Ave. Villanova, PA 19085, USA

[4]Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennslyvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

[5]The CHARA Array of Georgia State University, Mount Wilson, CA, 91023

and hence X-ray bright. We have used this approach to confirm possible resolved companions of Cepheids (Evans, et al. 2013; Evans, et al. 2014 in preparation) identified in a Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) survey of 69 bright Cepheids. In this study, we use X-rays to identify low mass members of the cluster. Identification of low mass stars as cluster members is usually plagued by contamination of old field stars of similar colors, which limits the value of this mass range in, for instance, determining the distance to the cluster and studying the cluster population.

2. XMM Observation of S Mus

As part of the HST companion program, we observed the Cepheid S Mus with the XMM-Newton satellite (obsid: 0691030201, AO 11) on January 5th 2013 for a total of 32.6 ksec with a 30' field of view. X-ray observations of the S Mus system itself will be discussed in a paper in preparation. S Mus lies outside the cluster radius of ASCC 69 as listed in the catalog of Kharchenko, et al. (2005) but within two cluster radii. However, AEM remark that because the cluster is sparse, neither the center nor the radius is well defined.

Because AEM found S Mus to be a highly probable member of the cluster ASCC 69, we investigated the X-ray sources in the entire XMM image to see if there are any likely low mass cluster members. Source detection was performed in the same way as for the α Per cluster (Pillitteri, et al. 2013). X-ray sources were then matched with 2MASS photometry (Cutri, et al. 2003). This filters the sources to eliminate background AGN, which have much fainter V magnitudes for a given X-ray flux than stars. Stars, on the other hand, at the distance of S Mus (789 pc, Evans, et al. 2013) from the Leavitt period−luminosity law (Benedict et al., 2007) would be listed in the 2MASS catalog well into spectral class M. Only 2MASS sources with class AAA photometry have been included, which means the errors in J and K are $\leq$ 0.10 mag.

Fig 1 shows the results in a plot of J vs. (J-K) for the X-ray sources. As indicated by the error bars, the main uncertainties are in the colors. The isochrone from Siess [1] is overplotted for 30 Myr and solar abundance. The discussion of Bono, et al. (2005) shows that S Mus ($P = 9.65^d$) has an age between 30 and 50 Myr. The isochrone (solid line) has been shifted to the distance of the Cepheid, with reddening and A_V of the Cepheid [E(B-V) = 0.21 mag; Evans et al 2013; E(J-K) = 0.10]. To illustrate the expected location of cluster stars, a dashed line shows the isochrone shifted by -0.8 mag to account for the binary sequence and +0.2 to allow for errors in J-K. A second dashed line has been shifted -0.2 in J-K. These lines define four regions from left to right: A. background objects; B. a small region immediately behind/below the cluster sequence; C. the cluster sequence; and D. foreground objects. The following number of stars are found in these regions: A. background: 8; B. below the cluster sequence: 2; C. the cluster sequence: 19; and D.

[1] *http://www.astro.ulb.ac.be/∼siess/Site/WWWTools*

above/foreground: 3. Thus, an over density of likely cluster members is evident.

Table 1 lists the sources considered to be young stars in the cluster region in Fig. 1, that is stars which are X-ray sources and have the J and K appropriate for a 30 Myr young cluster at the distance of the Cepheid. Cols 1 and 2 list the source number and the source number in the original detection list respectively. Cols 3–5 list the 2MASS identification number and the right ascension and declination of the X-ray sources. Cols 6-9 list the 2MASS photometry, J, H, K together with their errors, and J-K. The net count rate (net counts ksec^{-1}; Col 10) is from the detection routine. The median X-ray energy in keV is in Col 11. We have used *PIMMS* to derive a conversion factor from the count rate to flux for a 1-T APEC spectrum with kT = 1.1 keV and N_H = 1.0 x 10^{21}, appropriate for the E(B-V). Luminosities have been computed for the fluxes for the distance of the Cepheid (789 pc). These are provided in cols 12 and 13 respectively. The final column (14) provides the separation between the X-ray source and the 2MASS source.

We have examined the X-ray parameters of the probable cluster members to see if they are consistent with stellar coronal sources. Median energies are typically in the range of 1 to 2 keV, which is reasonable for young stellar sources (e.g. Feigelson, et al. 2005). Stars from Figure 1 which fall outside the cluster band have similar median energies, indicating that they may also be stars, but not spatially coincident with the cluster. A typical Median Absolute Deviation (MAD) is 0.6. Only 4 of the sources have fewer than 30 counts. For the others, using Gaussian statistics and a typical source count of 50 counts, the uncertainty in the median energy is estimated to be 0.1 keV.

In order to have a consistent estimate of the character of the sources, we have used median energies to provide information about all the sources which are probable low-mass cluster members (Table 1). Two of the sources have enough counts to fit a spectrum, #60 (273 counts), and #72 (441 counts). The next strongest source has only half as many counts (#7 137 counts) and all the rest of the sources have fewer than 100 counts. For the two strong sources (#60 and #72) the results of the spectral fitting are as follows. For source 60, the N_H, kT, and log Flux (unabsorbed) are 1.3 $\times$ 10^{21}, 0.93 keV, and -13.56 (ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}). For source 72, they are 1.8 $\times$ 10^{21}, 1.43 keV, and -13.16 (ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}). These are similar to the N_H derived from E(B-V), and the values for kT and log Flux listed in Table 1. These values would be expected for stellar coronal sources rather than background AGN.

The width of the probable cluster band in Fig. 1 implies a significant population of binaries. In addition we note that for M25, the cluster which contains the Cepheid U Sgr (Majaess, et al. 2013), the main sequence in the J–(J-H) CMD is markedly wider than that in the V–(B-V) CMD. There may also be a significant width to the J–(J-K) CMD for the α Per cluster, which is similar in age to Cepheids (Pillitteri, et al. 2013). This points to complexity in interpreting the cluster data, which is affected by the accruacy of photometry, the population of binaries, a spread in ages or even possibly the existance of debris disks (which would be more prominent in infrared colors). It would be premature to draw conclusions from the data in Fig. 1 on this topic, but will be discussed



Fig. 1.— The J–(J-K) color magnitude diagram for the X-ray sources in ASCC 69, the cluster surrounding S Mus. Errors in J-K are shown; errors in J (Table 1) are smaller than the size of the symbols. Sources identified as cluster members have larger symbols than nonmembers. The Siess[1] isochrone for 30 Myr at the distance and with the reddening of S Mus is shown (solid line). Cluster members are expected to lie below the upper dashed line which is 0.8 mag above (binaries) and 0.2 mag redder (errors) than the isochrone. The lower dashed line is 0.2 mag bluer than the isochrone, below which background stars would be found.

in a further paper.

The exposure is comparatively shallow for sources $\sim$ 800 pc away, so only the brightest part of the X-ray luminosity distribution will be sampled. The X-ray luminosities (Col 13) are reasonable for the brightest stellar sources at the age of the cluster (eg. Pillitteri et al, 2013; Preibisch and Feigelson 2005). We also compare the luminosities of the cluster stars with the putative background stars. The luminosities of the stars below the lower dashed line in Fig. 1 (region A using the distance of the cluster, which is, of course, an underestimate) typically appear to have $\log L_X \leq 30$ ergs sec^{-1} while those of the cluster members (Col 13) spread to significantly brighter luminosities. Again, this is appropriate for stars actually more distant than the cluster.

Future work includes a comparison of Fig. 1 with the low-mass members of other clusters associated with Cepheids from both new observations and archival data, particularly pertaining to the width of the main sequence band. Included in this more extensive study will be the data in the current study and for M25, as well as an additional cluster observation that has been approved for XMM, permitting a discussion with a wider scope.

3. Conclusions

An XMM-Newton observation centered on the Cepheid S Mus identifies a concentration of 19 X-ray sources with 2MASS magnitudes appropriate for a 30 Myr cluster at the distance of the Cepheid. These are low mass stars which are likely cluster members, supporting the identity of the sparse cluster ASCC 69. The paper demonstrates the value of using X-ray observations to identify young X-ray active low-mass cluster candidates from a large number of older stars in the field. Confirmation of the cluster strengthens the association of S Mus with the cluster.

We thank an anonymous referee for comments which improved the presentation of the paper. Support for this work was also provided from the Chandra X-ray Center NASA Contract NAS8-03060 and by HST grant GO-12215.01-A. Vizier and SIMBAD were used in the preparation of this study.

REFERENCES

An, D., Terndrup, D. M., & Pinsonneault, M. H. 2007, ApJ, 671, 1640

Anderson, R. I., Eyer, L., & Mowlavi, N. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 2238

Benedict, G. F., McArthur, B. E., Feast, M. W., et al. 2007, AJ, 133, 1810

Bono, G., Marconi, M., Cassisi, S., et al. 2005, ApJ, 621, 966

Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, "The IRSA 2MASS All-Sky Point Source Catalog, NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive. http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/Gator/",

Remage Evans, N., Bond, H. E., Schaefer, G. H., et al. 2013, AJ, 146, 93

Feast, M. W., & Walker, A. R. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 345

Feigelson, E. D., Getman, K., Townsley, L., et al. 2005, ApJS, 160, 379

Kharchenko, N. V., Piskunov, A. E., Röser, S., Schilbach, E., & Scholz, R.-D. 2005, A&A, 438, 1163

Majaess, D., Carraro, G., Moni Bidin, C., et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A22

Pallavicini, R., Golub, L., Rosner, R., et al. 1981, ApJ, 248, 279

Pillitteri, I., Remage Evans, N., Wolk, S. J., & Bruck Syal, M. 2013, AJ, 145, 143

Preibisch, T., & Feigelson, E. D. 2005, ApJS, 160, 390

Turner, D. G., & Burke, J. F. 2002, AJ, 124, 2931

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1: List of cluster members defined as the 2MASS objects with X-ray detection falling in the region of Fig. 1 identified with the cluster sequence.

N	Star	2MASS	RA	Dec	J ± E_J	H ± E_H	K ± E_K	J-K	Ct Rt	Med E	log F_X	log L_X	Sep
			deg (J2000)	deg (J2000)	mag ± mag	mag ± mag	mag ± mag	mag	ks^{-1}	keV	ergs $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	ergs s^{-1}	$''$
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	7	12133153-7016575	183.38107	-70.28242	11.74±0.03	11.33±0.03	11.24±0.03	0.51	3.2	1.02	-13.61	30.26	1.0
2	11	12140653-7016261	183.52871	-70.27355	14.66±0.05	13.92±0.04	13.73±0.06	0.92	1.7	1.46	-13.88	30.00	2.3
3	18	12121521-7013476	183.06439	-70.22959	11.02±0.02	10.75±0.02	10.72±0.02	0.3	0.9	0.98	-14.17	29.70	1.7
4	33	12111525-7009518	182.81650	-70.16427	13.00±0.02	12.32±0.02	12.17±0.03	0.83	0.4	1.48	-14.55	29.33	3.6
5	36	12105447-7009296	182.72909	-70.15813	13.69±0.04	13.05±0.04	12.81±0.04	0.88	1.8	1.01	-13.87	30.01	2.6
6	37	12144088-7009232	183.67194	-70.15599	14.88±0.04	14.22±0.04	13.76±0.05	1.12	0.7	1.14	-14.24	29.63	2.6
7	38	12123024-7009130	183.12328	-70.15525	12.94±0.02	12.25±0.02	12.13±0.02	0.82	0.9	2.49	-14.15	29.72	6.7
8	45	12133084-7008364	183.37656	-70.14260	12.69±0.03	12.35±0.03	12.26±0.03	0.44	0.5	0.98	-14.41	29.46	3.9
9	46	12133429-7008332	183.39420	-70.14211	15.08±0.04	14.31±0.04	14.06±0.07	1.02	0.3	1.01	-14.62	29.25	2.3
10	47	12104829-7008261	182.70175	-70.14057	14.98±0.04	14.32±0.04	13.94±0.07	1.04	0.5	1.36	-14.40	29.48	0.7
11	51	12143476-7006537	183.64800	-70.11479	13.96±0.03	13.27±0.03	12.94±0.03	1.02	2.5	1.15	-13.71	30.16	3.9
12	52	12101845-7006448	182.57796	-70.11176	10.35±0.02	10.34±0.02	10.30±0.02	0.05	1.0	1.13	-14.10	29.77	2.9
13	60	12122464-7005448	183.10372	-70.09562	13.72±0.04	13.12±0.04	12.81±0.04	0.91	4.5	1.10	-13.46	30.41	1.4
14	71	12124049-7004243	183.16720	-70.07364	15.17±0.04	14.50±0.06	14.19±0.07	0.98	0.8	1.29	-14.21	29.67	2.0
15	72	12124932-7004087	183.20683	-70.06896	13.49±0.03	12.79±0.02	12.69±0.03	0.80	7.6	1.11	-13.23	30.64	1.7
16	76	12120080-7003457	183.00435	-70.06203	14.57±0.03	13.9±0.03	13.67±0.05	0.90	2.0	1.00	-13.80	30.07	2.8
17	77	12150330-7003181	183.76350	-70.05444	13.31±0.03	12.77±0.02	12.60±0.02	0.71	2.2	1.05	-13.76	30.11	2.2
18	88	12105702-6959592	182.73689	-69.99788	12.60±0.03	12.11±0.03	11.97±0.02	0.63	1.8	2.07	-13.86	30.01	7.0
19	94	12133206-6955321	183.38331	-69.92509	13.40±0.03	12.71±0.03	12.59±0.03	0.81	5.4	1.40	-13.38	30.49	1.9